

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/897,315	07/02/2001	Brent Kevin Larson	DN2001138	1737
75	90 03/19/2003			
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company Patent & Trademark Department - D/823 1144 East Market Street			EXAMINER	
			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
Akron, OH 44	316-0001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	4
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	15-
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/897,315	LARSON, BRENT KEVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
, <del></del>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	rance except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		Eveminor
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be neid in abeyand io: o\□ approved b\□ disa	onroved by the Examiner
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examinor.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,xammor.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1	gri priority under 55 5.5.5.3 1	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ats have been received	
Certified copies of the priority document     Certified copies of the priority document		lication No
The second secon	arity documents have been re	reived in this National Stage
application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  * See the attached detailed	st of the certified copies not rec	ceived.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	I19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has been	n received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1714

1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato (US 5,936,023).

Embodiment 2 (col. 5-6) of the prior art of Kato discloses process for making following composition: Montmorillonite clay was dispersed in water and then octadecylammonium chloride was added. The mixture was stirred, washed and precipitated. The layer distance between the platelets of the montmorillonite increase, indicating intercalation of the ammonium compound. Next 100 parts of EPDM rubber, 20 parts of carbon black, 1.5 parts of sulfur vulcanizing agent and accelerator were mixed with 20 parts of clay composite, wherein clay composite contained 5 parts of clay. The mixture was kneaded and vulcanized at 160°C.

In the same manned, embodiment 1 of the prior art of Kato discloses use of natural rubber (col. 5, line 15). Claim 4 of the prior art of Kato further teaches use of other rubbers such as butadiene rubber or styrene-butadiene rubber.

Clay component of the prior art of Kato includes in addition to examples, saponite, hectorite, beidelite, stevensite and nontronite (claim 16).

Art Unit: 1714

Clays are intercalated with organic cation. In addition to embodiments of the prior art of Kato, claims 7-12 teach use of distearyl dimethyl ammonium ion, trioctyl ammonium ion, dioctyl dimethyl ammonium ion, ethyl hexyl ammonium ion and the like.

Resulting composition had improved oxygen permeability barrier.

In the light of the above disclosure, the prior art of Kato anticipates requirements of the claims rejected above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 8, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (US 5,936,023) in view of Elspass (US 6,034,164).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of Kato from paragraph 2 of this office action is incorporated here by reference.

The difference between the present invention and the disclosure of the prior art of Kato is limitation of a tire component and molar equivalent of the ammonium compound.

With respect to the above difference, the prior art of Elspass discloses composition for tire inner liners. The composition comprises exfoliated clay and rubber, due to its improved oxygen permeability barrier.

Because of its use in tire inner liner there are two elastomers utilized. The elastomers, according to claim 1 are selected from BR, SBR, NR, IR, isobutylene and the like.

Clay is intercalated with onium compound, wherein the amount of ammonium salt is from about 10-100 wt % based on the weight of the layered material. Addition of intercalated clay into the rubber, which is then subjected to shear causes clay to exfoliate.

Although the prior art of Elspass does not disclose the molar amount of the ammonium compound, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that in order to obtain efficient intercalation, and then incorporation of the polymer between the platelets of the clay, the basal spacing has to be as large as possible. Such result would be possible if the amount of ammonium would be in at least 1:1 molar ratio or in excess of molar ratio in order to afford complete cation exchange.

Art Unit: 1714

The rubber composition comprising exfoliated clay, results in a composition, which has improved oxygen barrier properties. Such property is desirable when making tire products such as inner liners.

In the light of the above disclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize composition of the prior art of Kato in the inner liner of Elspass and thereby obtain the claimed invention. The compositions disclosed in this office action have improved oxygen barrier properties and are vulcanized in presence of sulfur.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Heinrich does not qualify as prior art for date purposes (US 2002/0095008). The prior art of Ajabani (US 2003/0004250) commonly assigned can not be used in double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1714

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kafaryna lypokebslu KIWL March 17, 2003